

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/094,279	06/09/1998	GUANGLIN SUN	33343-01	8189	
26474	7590 01/21/2003				
KEIL & WEINKAUF			EXAMINER		
1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			LEVY, 1	LEVY, NEIL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616		
		DATE MAILED: 01/21/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·				
Application	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary Exprine	72 Guy Group Art Unit 34			
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the co	ver sheet beneath the correspondence address—			
Peri d for Reply	2			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE_OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the set. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6). Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the analysis. 	statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•			
☐ This action is FINAL.				
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal m accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 4				
Disp sition of Claims	·			
Volaim(s) 36,39,4/-6/63-10,8/7395-102	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s) 69,70,74-78	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
Setaim(s) 36, 39, 4/-6/,63-68, 71-73, 8	1-93,95-700 is/are rejected.			
□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
Claim(s) 36,39,41-61,63-78,81-93,95-100	are subject to re striction or election			
Application Papers	requirement.			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P	ГО-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐	approved 🗆 disapproved.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S. □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do received. 	locuments have been			
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International But 				
*Certified copies not received:	·			
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other			
·				
Office Acti n Sui	mmary			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/094,279

Art Unit: 1616

Receipt is acknowledged of Amendment of 10/18/02.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 69, 70, 74-78 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7.

The restriction had been dropped, as the non-elected products (Paper #7) has in fact been examined. However, continued election requirement, with species elected as AcMNPVP X 1, results in withdrawal of claims that do not include this species.

Claims 36,39,7,63-68,7,7-73,87-93-475-700

Claims 36,39,7,63-68,7,7-73,87-93-475-700

are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miles 5662891 or Bohmetal 4948586 or Fakhrudden – EP 697170, in view of Rheum et al 5560909.

The rejection of record is maintained.

Applicant's arguments filed on 10/18/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the prior art cited provides, as we understand the traversal, in essence different goals than those of the present invention, and thus the identifiable aspects of this invention were not optimized by any of the prior art.

Examiner finds there is no requirement of the prior art to recite the goals and desired improvements of applicant's methods and products, but rather to provide a basis for one in the art to achieve those products and use those methods. The method steps are seen in the cited reference; the ingredients used in those steps not necessarily chosen as would be optimized by the instant invention; while the products are in fact those as

Application/Control Number: 09/094,279

Art Unit: 1616

claimed by the instant language, regardless of process of attaining them. However, the claimed requirements, which are not focused on by, the prior art cited, are not found in the independent instant claim language.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is (703)308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday 7 AM to 5:30 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/094,279 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Levy/LR January 13, 2003 NELL S. LEW PRIMARY EXMANNER